

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,346	07/31/2003	Manindra Agrawal	ITK-001	3456
75	90 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
William L. Botjer			MAI, TAN V	
Center M P.O. Box 478			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Riches, NY 11	1934		2193	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/631,346	AGRAWAL ET AL	AGRAWAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tan V. Mai	2193				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication ap Period f r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	th the corresp ndence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (I) - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI ate, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Seply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31.	July 2006.					
,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ata baya baan yanaiyad					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.			Store			
application from the International Burea		eceived in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eceived				
		occircu.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	-·	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/631,346 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology is used in this paragraph (i.e., "comprises"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The applicants have failed to disclose the physical structure of their system with any meaningful degree of specificity. It seems to be apparatus claims are corresponding to method claims. However, **Fig. 1 does NOT show <u>ALL</u> the means plus functions**. It is noted that the method claims are performed by software (i.e., see claims 5-6 & 11-12).

The examiner contends that not only would it require undue experimentation to design the above **system** which would perform the function(s) disclosed and claimed, but that it would also require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to design working apparatus that would permit recited "means plus function" for providing the desired function.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,346 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

3. Claims 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim language is vague and indefinite. It seems to be apparatus claims are corresponding to method claims. However, Fig. 1 does NOT show ALL the means **plus functions**. Clarification is requested.

As per claim 16, the ":" (line 3) is mistyped. Similarly noted claim 19.

As per claim 17, the phrase "the extension ring test comprising means" is misdescriptive because the "performing an extension ring test" (claim 16) is merely a function. Similarly noted claim 18.

As per claim 18, "steps" a-c have been recited in parent claim [16]. Similarly noted claim 21.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows: 4.

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims recite method / system for performing a mathematical function.

[&]quot;[t]o satisfy section 101 requirements, the claim must be for a practical application of the Sec. 101 judicial exception, which can be identified in various ways:

[.] The claimed invention "transforms" an article or physical object to a different state or thing.

[.] The claimed invention otherwise produces a useful, concrete and tangible result,...".

See "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" OG Date: 22 November 2005.

Since there is no physical transform to establish a practical application, a useful, concrete and tangible result <u>appears</u> to be lacking. Therefore, claims 1-21 are directed to a non-statutory process.

- 5. Claims 1-21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st & 2nd paragraphs, and 35 U.S.C. 101 set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the method for generating prime numbers having the detail steps b-d as recited in independent claim 1. Similar language is used in other independent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/631,346 Page 5

Art Unit: 2193

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner